

Journal of Wood Science 投稿規程

(2014年9月25日改定)

1. 投稿資格

投稿は、とくに依頼した場合を除き、日本木材学会正会員および学生会員に限る。ただし、筆頭者以外の共著者に非会員を含むことは差し支えない。また、海外在住の非会員からの投稿についてはこの限りではない。

2. 原稿の種類

原稿は、本誌の Instructions for Authors (以下 IFA と略記) に定める Original article, Note, Rapid communication, Review article のいずれかとする。なお、掲載された原稿に対する意見 (それに対する原著者からの回答を含む) を Letters to the editor として掲載することがある。

3. 投稿手続き

3.1 原稿は本投稿規程および IFA を満たすものでなければならない。

3.2 原稿はすべて電子投稿とし、本学会ホームページに記載された投稿サイトで、指示されたすべての項目に入力し、必要なファイルをアップロードすること。

3.3 投稿に際しては、本学会ホームページあるいは投稿サイトに掲載の Certification Form および Submission Form に必要事項を記入し、それらのファイルを投稿サイトでアップロードすること。

3.4 その他必要な事項は IFA で定める。

4. 原稿の受付, 受理および採否

4.1 投稿サイトにおける投稿手続きが完了したことを編集委員会で確認した日を受付日とし、原稿が掲載可となったことを編集委員会が確認した日を受理日とする。

4.2 原稿の採否は別に定める審査の基本方針に則って編集委員会で決定する。編集委員会は投稿原稿について訂正を求めることがある。訂正を求められた原稿が返送日から 1 カ月を越えて再提出された場合は、新規に投稿された原稿として取り扱う。

5. 投稿経費

5.1 著者は、掲載原稿について、IFA で定める経費を負担しなければならない。ただし、依頼した原稿についてはこの限りではない。

5.2 別刷は出版社から 100 部単位で著者が購入する。

6. 著作権

本誌に掲載された記事についての著作権は日本木材学会に帰属する。

付) 本投稿規程、審査の基本方針は木材学会誌の各巻 1 号に、Instructions for Authors は Journal of Wood Science の各号に掲載する。

Manuscripts for publication and correspondence: Please submit all manuscripts and send all correspondence to the electronic submission-tracking site of the *Journal of Wood Science* (JWS), at <http://www.jwrs.org/index-e.html> (English) or <http://www.jwrs.org/> (Japanese), the Web site of the Japan Wood Research Society (JWRS).

Prerequisites for publication: The first author must be a member of the Japan Wood Research Society; however, nonmembers from outside Japan are also accepted as authors. Upon submission of the manuscript, both the **Certification form** and the **Submission form**, which can be downloaded from the above-mentioned site, must be submitted electronically. Please note that the Certification form must be submitted as a pdf file showing the author's signature.

Ethical responsibilities of authors: This journal is committed to upholding the integrity of the scientific record. As a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) the journal will follow the COPE guidelines on how to deal with potential acts of misconduct.

Authors should refrain from misrepresenting research results which could damage the trust in the journal and ultimately the entire scientific endeavor. Maintaining integrity of the research and its presentation can be achieved by following the rules of good scientific practice, which includes:

- The manuscript has not been submitted to more than one journal for simultaneous consideration.
- The manuscript has not been published previously (partly or in full), unless the new work concerns an expansion of previous work (please provide transparency on the re-use of material to avoid the hint of text-recycling ("self-plagiarism")).
- A single study is not split up into several parts to increase the quantity of submissions and submitted to various journals or to one journal over time (e.g. "salami-publishing").
- No data have been fabricated or manipulated (including images) to support your conclusions.
- No data, text, or theories by others are presented as if they were the authors own ("plagiarism"). Proper acknowledgements to other works must be given (this includes material that is closely copied (near verbatim), summarized and/or paraphrased), quotation marks are used for verbatim copying of material, and permissions are secured for material that is copyrighted.

Important note: the journal may use software to screen for plagiarism.

- Consent to submit has been received from all co-authors and responsible authorities at the institute/organization where the work has been carried out before the work is submitted.
- Authors whose names appear on the submission have contributed sufficiently to the scientific work and therefore share collective responsibility and accountability for the results.

In addition:

- Changes of authorship or in the order of authors are not accepted after acceptance of a manuscript.

– Requests to add or delete authors at revision stage or after publication is a serious matter, and may be considered only after receipt of written approval from all authors and detailed explanation about the role/deletion of the new/deleted author. The decision on accepting the change rests with the Editor-in-Chief of the journal.

– Upon request authors should be prepared to send relevant documentation or data in order to verify the validity of the results. This could be in the form of raw data, samples, records, etc.

If there is a suspicion of misconduct, the journal will carry out an investigation following the COPE guidelines. If, after investigation, the allegation seems to raise valid concerns, the accused author will be contacted and given an opportunity to address the issue. If misconduct has been proven, this may result in the Editor-in-Chief's implementation of the following measures, including, but not limited to:

- If the article is still under consideration, it may be rejected and returned to the author.
- If the article has already been published online, depending on the nature and severity of the infraction, either an erratum will be placed with the article or in severe cases complete retraction of the article will occur. The reason must be given in the published erratum or retraction note.
- The author's institution may be informed.

Disclosure of potential conflict of interests:

Authors must disclose all relationships or interests that could influence or bias the work. Although an author may not feel there are conflicts, disclosure of relationships and interests affords a more transparent process, leading to an accurate and objective assessment of the work. Awareness of real or perceived conflicts of interests is a perspective to which the readers are entitled and is not meant to imply that a financial relationship with an organization that sponsored the research or compensation for consultancy work is inappropriate. Examples of potential conflicts of interests that are directly or indirectly related to the research may include but are not limited to the following:

- Research grants from funding agencies (please give the research funder and the grant number)
- Honoraria for speaking at symposia
- Financial support for attending symposia
- Financial support for educational programs
- Employment or consultation
- Support from a project sponsor
- Position on advisory board or board of directors or other type of management relationships
- Multiple affiliations
- Financial relationships, for example equity ownership or investment interest
- Intellectual property rights (e.g. patents, copyrights and royalties from such rights)
- Holdings of spouse and/or children that may have financial interest in the work

In addition, interests that go beyond financial interests and compensation (non-financial interests) that may be important to readers should be disclosed. These may include but are not limited to personal relationships or competing interests directly or indirectly tied to this research, or professional interests or personal beliefs that may influence your research.

The corresponding author collects the conflict of interest disclosure forms from all authors. In author collaborations where formal agreements for representation allow it, it is sufficient for the corresponding author to sign the disclosure form on behalf of all authors. Examples of forms can be found at <https://www.springer.com/?SGWID=0-102-2-1469445-preview>.

The corresponding author will include a summary statement in the text of the manuscript in a separate section before the reference list, that reflects what is recorded in the potential conflict of interest disclosure form(s). See below examples of disclosures:

Funding: This study was funded by X (grant number X).

Conflict of Interest: Author A has received research grants from Company A. Author B has received a speaker honorarium from Company X and owns stock in Company Y. Author C is a member of committee Z.

If no conflict exists, the authors should state: **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Statement of Human and Animal Rights:

When reporting studies that involve human participants, authors should include a statement that the studies have been approved by the appropriate institutional and/or national research ethics committee and have been performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

If doubt exists whether the research was conducted in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration or comparable standards, the authors must explain the reasons for their approach, and demonstrate that the independent ethics committee or institutional review board explicitly approved the doubtful aspects of the study.

The following statements should be included in the text before the References section:

Ethical approval: "All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards."

The welfare of animals used for research must be respected. When reporting experiments on animals, authors should indicate whether the institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

For studies with animals, the following statement should be included:

"All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed."

If articles do not contain studies with human participants or animals by any of the authors, Springer recommends including the following sentence:

"This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors."

For retrospective studies, please add the following sentence:

"For this type of study formal consent is not required."

Informed consent: All individuals have individual rights that are not to be infringed. Individual participants in studies e.g. have the right to decide what happens to the (identifiable) personal data gathered and to what they have said e.g. during a study or an interview as well as to any photograph that was taken. Hence it is important that all participants gave their informed consent in writing prior to inclusion in the study. Identifying details (names, dates of birth, identity numbers and other information) of the participants that were studied should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, and genetic profiles unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the participant (or parent or guardian if the participant is incapable) has given written informed consent for publication. Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve in some cases, and informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of participants is inadequate protection of anonymity. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic profiles, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning. The following statement should be included:

Informed consent: "Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study."

If identifying information about participants is available in the article, the following statement should be included:

"Additional informed consent was obtained from all individual participants for whom identifying information is included in this article."

Types of articles: The *Journal of Wood Science* publishes peer-reviewed *Original articles*, *Notes*, *Rapid communications*, and *Review articles*, based on the principles and theories of wood science. These articles should be no longer than 8, 5, 3, and 10 printed pages, respectively. *Original articles* should describe novel ideas based on new results that provide new perspectives in the scientific community. *Notes* should be short reports of original studies of limited scope. *Rapid communications* should be for rapid, preliminary publication of a significant discovery, thus the manuscript must be accompanied by a letter from the author stating reasons that would justify publication as a rapid communication. *Review articles* should be overview articles of recent advances in the research of selected topics. *Letters to the editor* should be short discussions on recently published articles in the journal, published together with response(s) from the original article's author(s). The text of the letter should be no longer than 750 words. All manuscripts for all types of articles should be written in English.

Peer-review: All received manuscripts are subject to peer-review by at least two referees appointed by the editorial board. After peer-review, the editorial board decides in consultation with referees whether the submitted manuscripts are to be accepted. The board aims to send the results of the review to the author within 2 weeks after manuscript submission. The editor often recommends revisions. When this occurs, the author(s) must submit a revised version within 1 month after receiving the review results. Otherwise, the submission will be treated as having been retracted by the author.

Manuscript submission: The work described in the manuscript must not have been

published in whole or in part elsewhere nor can it be under consideration elsewhere, and its publication must have been approved by all co-authors, if any, as well as by the responsible authorities – tacitly or explicitly – at the institute where the work has been carried out. The publisher will not be held legally responsible, should there be any claims for compensation. Authors wishing to include figures, tables, or text passages that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from the copyright owner(s) and to include evidence that such permission has been granted when submitting their papers. Any material received without such evidence will be assumed to originate from the authors. Manuscripts, excluding figures, should be submitted in Word in 12-point Times New Roman. Files should be saved in .doc format; .docx files should not be submitted.

Title page: The title page includes the title, which should be brief, specific, and informative; the type of article; the authors' full names, affiliations, and addresses; the e-mail address, telephone and fax numbers of the corresponding author to which proofs are to be sent; and 3– 5 key words or phrases for indexing. If part or all of the paper has been presented at any scientific meeting, this must be stated in a footnote to the title page.

Abstract: For *Original articles*, *Notes*, and *Review articles*, provide an abstract of not more than 200 words containing a concise description of the purpose, methods, results, and conclusions of the article. Give only the most essential data, emphasizing new and important aspects of the research. The abstract should not contain any undefined abbreviations or unspecified references.

Text: For *Original articles* and *Notes*, the text should be, if possible, divided into the following sections: Introduction, Theory, Materials and methods (or Experimental), Results, and Discussion. Headings should be no more than three levels. Abbreviations should be defined at first appearance and used consistently thereafter. However, their use in the title and abstract should be avoided. Footnotes should be used sparingly and numbered consecutively.

Conclusions: A "Conclusions" section is optional. If it is included, it should highlight as succinctly as possible the research achievements described in the article.

Acknowledgments: Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc. should be placed in a separate section immediately before the reference list.

References: References in the text should be cited using numbers, e.g., "Ross et al. [1], Suzuki and Tanaka [2], and Harada [3] show that . . ." in the order of their appearance. For cited works that were written in Japanese, follow the style shown below. The list of references should include only those works that are cited in the text and that have been published or accepted for publication. The accuracy of reference data is the authors' responsibility. Personal communications and works not yet accepted for publication should not be included in the reference list but may be cited in parentheses in the text. If such a citation is from someone other than the authors, a letter should be submitted in which the direct quotation is given with the signature of its author. Do not use footnotes or endnotes

as a substitute for a reference list. Unpublished papers accepted for publication may be included in the list by designating the journal followed by the DOI (Digital Object Identifier) of the article. In the reference list, provide inclusive page numbers for all references and give the names of all authors (the use of "et al." in the reference list is unacceptable). Listing of a Web site as a reference should be avoided except as the equivalent of a published reference. If a site is listed, the date it was most recently accessed should be included. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations, available at <http://www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php>

1. Watanabe U, Abe H, Yoshida K, Sugiyama J (2015) Quantitative evaluation of properties of residual DNA in *Cryptomeria japonica* wood. *J Wood Sci* 61:1–9
2. Suzuki T, Tanaka J (1987) Engineering plastics from lignin (in Japanese). *Mokuzai Gakkaishi* 33:213–218
3. Slifka MK, Whitton JL (2009) Clinical implications of dysregulated cytokine production. *J Mol Med* doi:10.1007/s001090000086
4. Timell TE (1986) *Compression wood in gymnosperms*. Springer, New York
5. Harada H (1965) Ultrastructure and organization of gymnosperm cell walls. In: Côté WA Jr (ed) *Cellular ultrastructure of woody plants*. Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, pp 215–233
6. Minami E, Saka S (2004) Chemical conversion of woody biomass in supercritical methanol to liquid fuels and chemicals. Science in thermal and chemical biomass conversion (Poster), Victoria, Canada, 30 Aug - 2 Sep 2004. <http://www.ecs.energy.kyoto-u.ac.jp/English/kenyu/kenyu-4-1.pdf>. Accessed 29 May 2009
7. Kim JS (2011) Immunolocalization of hemicelluloses in differentiating xylem of *Cryptomeria japonica*. Dissertation, Kyoto University, Japan
8. JIS Z2101-1994 (2000) Methods of test for woods (in Japanese). Japanese Standard Association, Tokyo, Japan, p 295

Tables: Use the table functions of Word, not spreadsheets, to make tables. Tables should be numbered consecutively with Arabic numerals in the order cited in the text. Each table should be given a brief informative title. Explain in footnotes all abbreviations used. Submit all tables as separate files and do not integrate them within the text.

Figures: All figures, whether photographs, graphs, or diagrams, should be cited in the text in consecutive numerical order with Arabic numerals. Submit all figures as separate files and do not integrate them within the text. For line-drawing figures, the preferred format is EPS; for halftone figures, please use TIFF format. Microsoft Office files are also acceptable. Each figure should be of publication quality (halftone figures: minimum 300dpi, line drawings and other figures: minimum 600dpi) without requiring any retouching by the printer. In photomicrographs, a bar should be used to show magnification, with the length of the bar indicated on the micrograph. Including a magnification factor in the legend is not acceptable. Previously published figures usually are not accepted. If used, however, the previously published material must be identified by giving the original source in the form of a reference citation at the end of the figure legend. Figure legends must be brief, self-sufficient explanations of the illustrations.

The legends should be placed at the end of the main text. Color figures will always be published in color in the online version. In print, however, they will only appear in color if the author agrees to pay the extra cost for the color printing (See details below). Otherwise the figures will be printed in black and white. Please note that, in such cases, it is authors' responsibility to prepare figures to be illustrative enough to convey the necessary information even after they are converted into black and white. The publisher reserves the right to reduce or enlarge figures.

Terminology: Always use internationally accepted signs and symbols for units, i.e., SI units. Insofar as possible, authors should use systematic names similar to those used by the Chemical Abstract Service or IUPAC. Genus and species names should be in italics. The common names of animals should not be capitalized. Generic names of chemicals are preferred; if trade names are used, the generic name should be given at first appearance. Please use the standard mathematical notation for formulae, symbols, etc. Use italics for single letters that denote mathematical constants, variables, and unknown quantities; use roman/upright for numerals, operators, punctuation, and commonly defined functions or abbreviations, e.g., cos, det, e or exp, lim, log, max, min, sin, tan, d (for derivative); use bold for vectors, tensors, and matrices.

Electronic Supplementary Material: Springer accepts electronic multimedia files (animations, movies, audio, etc.) and other supplementary files to be published online along with an article or a book chapter. This feature can add dimension to the author's article, as certain information cannot be printed or is more convenient in electronic form.

Submission

- Supply all supplementary material in standard file formats.
- Please include in each file the following information: article title, journal name, author names; affiliation and e-mail address of the corresponding author.
- To accommodate user downloads, please keep in mind that larger-sized files may require very long download times and that some users may experience other problems during downloading.

Audio, Video, and Animations

- Always use MPEG-1 (.mpg) format.

Text and Presentations

- Submit your material in PDF format; .doc or .ppt files are not suitable for long-term viability.
- A collection of figures may also be combined in a PDF file.

Spreadsheets

- Spreadsheets should be converted to PDF if no interaction with the data is intended.
- If the readers should be encouraged to make their own calculations, spreadsheets should be submitted as .xls files (MS Excel).

Specialized Formats

- Specialized format such as .pdb (chemical), .vrl (VRML), .nb (Mathematica notebook), and .tex can also be supplied.

Collecting Multiple Files

- It is possible to collect multiple files in a .zip or .gz file.

Numbering

- If supplying any supplementary material, the text must make specific mention of the material as a citation, similar to that of figures and tables.
- Refer to the supplementary files as "Online Resource", e.g., "... as shown in the animation (Online Resource 3)", "... additional data are given in Online Resource 4".
- Name the files consecutively, e.g. "ESM_3.mpg", "ESM_4.pdf".

Captions

- For each supplementary material, please supply a concise caption describing the content of the file.

Processing of supplementary files

- Electronic supplementary material will be published as received from the author without any conversion, editing, or reformatting.

Accessibility

- In order to give people of all abilities and disabilities access to the content of your supplementary files, please make sure that
- The manuscript contains a descriptive caption for each supplementary material.
 - Video files do not contain anything that flashes more than three times per second (so that users prone to seizures caused by such effects are not put at risk)

Proofreading: The purpose of the proof is to check for typesetting, conversion errors, and the completeness and accuracy of the text,

tables and figures. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, changes in title and authorship, are not allowed without the approval of the Editor. After online publication, further changes can be made only in the form of an Erratum, which will be hyperlinked to the article.

Copyright transfer: Authors will be asked to transfer copyright of the article to the Japan Wood Research Society. This will ensure the widest possible protection and dissemination of information under copyright laws.

Fees: The authors of accepted papers, not including *Review articles* invited by the Editorial Board, are requested to pay part of the publication fee as follows: The cost for *Original articles*, *Notes*, *Rapid communications*, and *Review articles* is ¥10,000 per page. For residents of Japan, the above costs are subject to an 8% consumption tax. Authors are requested to pay the extra cost for color illustrations: €950/US\$1,150 (plus VAT) per article.

Offprints: Authors will receive the PDF file (with cover) of their article for free. Offprints can be ordered by the corresponding author.

Online First: The article will be published online after receipt of the corrected proofs. This is the official first publication citable with the DOI. After release of the printed version, the paper can also be cited by issue and page numbers.

Editorial Office

The Editorial Office of the Journal of Wood Science
c/o Prof. Tsuyoshi Yoshimura (Editor-in-Chief)
Research Institute for Sustainable
Humanosphere,
Kyoto University,
Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan
Phone: +81-774-38-3661
Fax: +81-774-38-3666
E-mail: edit-office@jwrs.org
Home Page: <http://www.jwrs.org>

“Journal of Wood Science” 審査の基本方針

日本木材学会編集委員会

投稿された論文 (Original article, Note, Rapid communication, Review article) は、すべて審査を受けて、その採否が決定されます。論文内容の価値判断は読者によってなされ、論文の内容に関する責任は著者に帰すものでありますが、その論文が定められた基準を満たしているかどうか、審査されます。日本木材学会編集委員会は、投稿論文の審査の基本方針を次のように定めています。

1. 審査の目的

投稿された論文 (Original article, Note, Rapid communication, Review article) が、審査の基準に照らして掲載可能か否かを判断するのが審査の目的です。

2. 審査の基準

投稿論文は木材学分野における位置付けや貢献度などについて、次の項目に照らして審査され、掲載の可否が判定されます。ただし、(1)~(3)は Original article, Note, Rapid communication に、(4)~(6)は Review article に適用する。

- (1) 新規性：論文の内容が、公知、既発表、または既知のことから容易に導き得るものでないこと。
- (2) 有用性：論文の内容が、学術的に、または実用上なんらかの意味で価値があること。
- (3) 信頼性：論旨が通っており、結論等を信頼するに十分な根拠が示してあること。
- (4) 客観性：個々の研究を特定の立場から論じることなく、科学的、客観的な立場から評価しているか。
- (5) 網羅性：自分の研究紹介に偏ることなく、研究が広く紹介されているか。
- (6) 今日性：最近の研究の流れが的確に紹介されているか。

さらに、論文は、その内容が読者に十分理解できるように簡潔、明瞭に記述され、その内容に誤りがないことが必要です。投稿規程・執筆要項に規定されたとおりに、論文が構成され、記述されていなければなりません。

論文は、原則として上記の諸項目を満たすことが望ましいわけですが、次に例示するように、その種類や内容によって、審査にあたっての重点の置き方が違うことも考えられます。

- Original article は、新規性の高いことが求められるが、有用性が極めて高い場合には、新規性はそれほど高くなくてもよい。
- Rapid communication は、新規性に重点を置く。
- Note は、有用性や信頼性に重点を置く。
- Review article は特定の問題に関する最近の研究の動向等に重点を置く。

3. 審査員

投稿された論文の審査員 2 名は、編集委員会において決定されます。ただし、編集委員会から依頼された総説については審査員 1 名とします。

審査員の氏名は公表しません。著者との連絡はすべて編集委員会が行い、審査員が著者と直接連絡しないこととします。

4. 審査の結果

論文は、上記の各項の基準に照らして総合的に審査され、次のいずれかに判定されます。

- (1) このまま掲載可。
- (2) 指摘の点を検討のうえ、書き改めれば掲載可。
- (3) 著者が訂正したのち、もう一度審査員がみる必要あり。
- (4) 却下した方がよい (掲載するほどの内容を含まない場合および掲載すべきでない場合)。

(2)、(3)と判定された論文の場合は、掲載条件が具体的に示されるので、指摘にそって原稿を修正することになります。(2)の判定の場合は、重要な内容の訂正を掲載条件としないことが原則です。

審査員 2 名の場合は両者が、1 名の場合はその審査員が(1)と判定すれば、審査は終了し、掲載可となります。

審査員 2 名の場合に両者がともに(4)と判定した場合は、却下となります。

審査員 2 名の場合是一方が、1 名の場合はその審査員が(4)と判定した場合は、別の審査員によってさらに審査を行い、その審査員も(4)と判定すれば却下となります。

5. 電子投稿・査読サイトでの判定表記

電子投稿・査読サイト (Editorial Manager™) では、前項の(1)~(4)の判定に次の表記を用います。

- (1) Accept
- (2) Minor Revision
- (3) Major Revision
- (4) Reject

論文の投稿から発行までの流れ

